## or the Inorthern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION, d/b/a GLAXOSMITHKLINE,	No. C 07-5702 CW
Plaintiff,	ORDER MOTIONS IN LIMINE

(Docket Nos. 650

and 656)

ABBOTT LABORATORIES,

v.

Defendant.

As discussed at the final pre-trial conference, held on April 8, 2015, the Court rules on the parties' motions in limine as follows:

## GSK's Motions in Limine

- 1. Preclude Abbott from Introducing Evidence of Kolassa's "Reasonable Market Behavior" or "Reasonable Pricing" Analysis
  - DENIED. Kolassa may testify to this analysis, but counsel and Kolassa shall limit their use of the word "reasonable" and Kolassa shall explain what he means by the word "reasonable" during direct examination.
- 2. Preclude Abbott from Introducing Evidence re Kolassa's Explanation for GSK's Decision to Diminish Lexiva Marketing
  - DENIED, except that Kolassa may not opine that Lexiva is clinically inferior.

## Abbott's Motions in Limine

1.	Exclude	Dr.	Prowse	S	Damages	Opini	ions
----	---------	-----	--------	---	---------	-------	------

DENIED. Dr. Prowse may testify to his damages opinions, but he may not refer to conversations he had with employees after submitting his report as support for the opinions in his reports.

2. Strike Paragraphs 13 and 14 of Dr. Dolan's Supplemental Rebuttal Report

DENIED.

3. Bar Dr. Siddiqui From Testifying on the Effect of the Price Increase on Lexiva or Prescribing Habits

DENIED. Dr. Siddiqui may testify to these subjects as he was permitted to do in the 2011 trial.

4. Bar GSK from Offering Dr. Prowse's Opinion on Restitutionary Damages

GRANTED.

- 5. Bar GSK from Referring to GSK and Abbott as "Partners"

  DENIED, but counsel shall limit the use of the word "partner" and clarify the lay meaning of the word "partner" during direct examination.
- 6. Bar Parties from Using Antitrust Terminology Such as "Monopolist," "Monopoly Power" or "Market Power"

DENIED, though the parties shall limit their use of such terms.

Finally, during the Final Pretrial Conference, counsel for Abbott provided the Court with a recently-produced GSK report regarding the "Lexiva HC4 Pilot" program. The report may be germane to GSK's damages and the report indicates that GSK may have undertaken subsequent analysis of the pilot program. Abbott asked the Court to reopen discovery. As the Court indicated at

## Case4:07-cv-05702-CW Document679 Filed04/10/15 Page3 of 3

**United States District Court** For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

27

28

the hear	ing,	the (	Court	will	reop	en	disco	very	r to	perm	it	Abbot	tt	to
request	exped	lited	produ	action	of	doc	ument	s re	elate	ed to	th	ie pi	lot	
program.														

Dated: 04/10/2015

